

Sample Generic 4-point Informative-Explanatory Writing Rubric (Grades 6–11)

Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization	Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence	Conventions		
Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization	Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary		
4	<p>The response is fully sustained and consistently and purposefully focused:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>controlling idea or main idea of a topic is focused, clearly stated, and strongly maintained</li> <li>controlling idea or main idea of a topic is introduced and communicated clearly within the context</li> </ul>	<p>The response has a clear and effective organizational structure creating unity and completeness:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of a variety of transitional strategies</li> <li>logical progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose</li> <li>strong connections among ideas, with some syntactic variety</li> </ul>	<p>The response provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the effective use of sources, facts, and details. The response achieves substantial depth that is specific and relevant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated, comprehensive, and concrete</li> <li>effective use of a variety of elaborative techniques</li> </ul>	<p>The response clearly and effectively expresses ideas, using precise language:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>use of academic and domain-specific vocabulary is clearly appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p>The response demonstrates a strong command of conventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>few, if any, errors are present in usage and sentence formation</li> <li>effective and consistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>

Sample Generic 4-point Informative-Explanatory Writing Rubric (Grades 6–11)						
Score		Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence	Conventions	
Statement of Purpose/Focus		Organization		Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	
3		<p>The response is adequately sustained and generally focused:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• focus is clear and for the most part maintained, though some loosely related material may be present</li> <li>• some context for the controlling idea or main idea of the topic is adequate</li> </ul>	<p>The response has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though there may be minor flaws and some ideas may be loosely connected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety</li> <li>• adequate progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>• adequate introduction and conclusion</li> <li>• adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas</li> </ul>	<p>The response provides adequate support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes the use of sources, facts, and details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some evidence from sources is integrated, though citations may be general or imprecise</li> <li>• adequate use of some elaborative techniques</li> </ul>	<p>The response adequately expresses ideas, employing a mix of precise with more general language</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of domain-specific vocabulary is generally appropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p>The response demonstrates an adequate command of conventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some errors in usage and sentence formation may be present, but no systematic pattern of errors is displayed</li> <li>• adequate use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>

Sample Generic 4-point Informative-Explanatory Writing Rubric (Grades 6–11)					
Score	Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence	Conventions	
Statement of Purpose/Focus	Organization		Elaboration of Evidence	Language and Vocabulary	
2	<p>The response is somewhat sustained and may have a minor drift in focus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• may be clearly focused on the controlling or main idea, but is insufficiently sustained</li> <li>• controlling idea or main idea may be unclear and somewhat unfocused</li> </ul>	<p>The response has an inconsistent organizational structure, and flaws are evident:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety</li> <li>• uneven progression of ideas from beginning to end</li> <li>• conclusion and introduction, if present, are weak</li> <li>• weak connection among ideas</li> </ul>	<p>The response provides uneven, cursory support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes partial or uneven use of sources, facts, and details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• evidence from sources is weakly integrated, and citations, if present, are uneven</li> <li>• weak or uneven use of elaborative techniques</li> </ul>	<p>The response expresses ideas unevenly, using simplistic language:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of domain-specific vocabulary that may at times be inappropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p>The response demonstrates a partial command of conventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• frequent errors in usage may obscure meaning</li> <li>• inconsistent use of punctuation, capitalization, and spelling</li> </ul>

Sample Generic 4-point Informative-Explanatory Writing Rubric (Grades 6–11)							
Score		Statement of Purpose/Focus and Organization		Development: Language and Elaboration of Evidence		Conventions	
Statement of Purpose/Focus		Organization		Elaboration of Evidence		Language and Vocabulary	
1		<p>The response may be related to the topic but may provide little or no focus:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• may be very brief</li> <li>• may have a major drift</li> <li>• focus may be confusing or ambiguous</li> </ul>	<p>The response has little or no discernible organizational structure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• few or no transitional strategies are evident</li> <li>• frequent extraneous ideas may intrude</li> </ul>	<p>The response provides minimal support/evidence for the controlling idea or main idea that includes little or no use of sources, facts, and details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of evidence from the source material is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant</li> </ul>	<p>The response expression of ideas is vague, lacks clarity, or is confusing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• uses limited language or domain-specific vocabulary</li> <li>• may have little sense of audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p>The response demonstrates a lack of command of conventions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• errors are frequent and severe and meaning is often obscure</li> </ul>	
0				A response gets no credit if it provides no evidence of the ability to [fill in with key language from the intended target].			